

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/604,204	07/01/2003	Peter E. Cottrell	BUR920030038US1	1203
23550	7590 09/08/2005		EXAM	INER
HOFFMAN WARNICK & D'ALESSANDRO, LLC			GAGLIARDI, ALBERT J	
75 STATE ST	TREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALBANY, N	Y 12207		2878	

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				H.		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7.		
		10/604,204	COTTRELL ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Albert J. Gagliardi	2878			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Ju	<u>aly 2003</u> .				
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application					
,,_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.					
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•			
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er. '				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 07 January 2003 is/are	: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected	d to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
•	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
,—	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).			
a)	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received	. •			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio					
	application from the International Burea	·	-			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachmen	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)			
2) Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Comment on Submissions

1. This action is responsive to submissions of 1 July 2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

Claims 1, 11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the

elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: any elements responsible for

preventing latch-up from occurring in the detector except in response to incident radiation. The

examiner notes that the limitation "wherein latch-up occurs in the radiation detector only in

response to incident radiation" appears to be function, but the claim is not written as a means-

plus-function type claim nor does the specification explicitly describe the means for performing

the function. The examiner notes that, as best understood, that in addition to the recited

elements, on e of the essential element that appears to be responsible for the recited function is

the PN junction of the gate layer arranged to be offset from the N-well/P-well junction of the

silicon layer. It is also not whether or not there are either other essential, other alternative

essential elements.

The remaining claims are rejected on the basis of their dependency.

Art Unit: 2878

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Independent claims 1, 11, and 14, as best understood, appear to suggest limitations that would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action. The examiner notes that while a variety of semiconductor radiation detector arrangement, including detectors utilizing some form of SOI fabrication techniques (see for example (Pantigny *et al.* – US 5,742,058, Wada *et al.* – US 6,020,619. Tyson *et al.* – US 6,072,224, Marion *et al.* – US 6,201,248 B1, US and Tompa *et al.* US 2004/0227094 A1), however none of the prior art appears to suggest the radiation detector including, as best understood, at least the PNPN and an element (gate layer with an offset PN junction?) preventing latch-up from occurring in the detector except in response to incident radiation. The remaining claims would be allowable on the basis of their dependency.

## Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Albert J. Gagliardi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2436. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 9 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David P. Porta can be reached on (571) 272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/604,204

Art Unit: 2878

7.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Albert J. Gagliardi

Page 4

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2878

AJG